

## Solution to Assignment 12

### Supplementary Problems

1. Verify that for any  $k$ -form  $\omega$ ,  $d(d\omega) = 0$ . You may work on the 3-dimensional space.

**Solution.** Let  $f$  be a 0-form. The  $df = f_x dx + f_y dy + f_z dz$  and

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 f = d(df) &= df_x \wedge dx + df_y \wedge dy + df_z \wedge dz \\ &= f_{xy} dy \wedge dx + f_{xz} dz \wedge dx + f_{yx} dx \wedge dy + f_{yz} dz \wedge dy + f_{zx} dx \wedge dz + f_{zy} dy \wedge dz \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

by antisymmetry.

Let  $\omega = f dx + g dy + h dz$  be a 1-form. Then

$$d\omega = f_y dy \wedge dx + f_z dz \wedge dx + g_x dx \wedge dy + g_z dz \wedge dy + h_x dx \wedge dz + h_y dy \wedge dz,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 \omega = d(d\omega) &= f_{yz} dz \wedge dy \wedge dx + f_{zy} dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + g_{xz} dz \wedge dx \wedge dy \\ &\quad + g_{zx} dx \wedge dz \wedge dy + h_{xy} dy \wedge dx \wedge dz + h_{yx} dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

by antisymmetry.

For any  $k$ -form with  $k \geq 2$  its twice exterior differentiation is a  $k + 2$ -form which must vanish in a three dimensional space.

2. Verify (a)  $\nabla \times \nabla \Phi = \mathbf{0}$ , and (b)  $\nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = 0$  for any function  $\Phi$  and vector field  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Solution.** Straightforward computations. The first formula says a gradient vector field is curl free and the second formula says a curl vector field is divergence free. A fundamental result on vector fields is the Helmholtz decomposition theorem: Any vector field  $\mathbf{F}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla \Phi + \nabla \times \mathbf{A},$$

for some function  $\Phi$  and vector field  $\mathbf{A}$ . In other words, it can be expressed as the sum of a curl-free and a divergence-free vector fields.

The converse question for (a) is: When a vector field satisfies  $\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = 0$ , does it exist some function  $\Phi$  such that  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla \Phi$ ? We know that it is true when the underlying space of the vector field is simply-connected.

The converse question for (b) is: When a vector field satisfies  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$ , does it exist some vector field  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ ? It is true when the vector field is defined in a star-shaped region.